

Addendum

Executive

Dear Councillor,

Executive - Thursday, 21 July 2022, 7.30 pm

I enclose, for consideration at the meeting of the Executive to be held on Thursday, 21 July 2022 at 7.30 pm, the observations from Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 14 July 2022 on Item 4 Medium Term Financial Plan 2023/24 to 2027/28 and Item 5 Capital Investment Strategy 2023/24 to 2027/28.

Mari Roberts-Wood
Head of Paid Service

4. **O&S Observations on Medium Term Financial Plan 2023/24 to 2027/28**

The Executive Member for Finance and Governance.

5. **O&S Observations on Capital Investment Strategy 2023/24 to 2027/28**

The Executive Member for Finance and Governance.

For enquiries regarding this addendum;

Contact: 01737 276182

Email: democratic@reigate-banstead.gov.uk

Published 21 July 2022



This page is intentionally left blank

Overview and Scrutiny Committee – 14 July 2022

Draft Minute 16 - Agenda Item 4 - Medium Term Financial Plan 2023/24

Members received a report and update on the Medium-Term Financial Plan 2023/24 to 2027/28 from Councillor Schofield, Deputy Leader and Executive Member for Finance and Governance.

The report to the Committee set out the background and context for the budget elements of service and financial planning for 2023/24 onwards. The Executive Member for Finance and Governance pointed out that this draft Medium Term Financial Plan (MTFP) confirmed that local government continues to face challenging financial situations. It has been known for some time that this Council's share of government funding is set to reduce, and new ways will need to be found to make more efficient use of existing resources while at the same time seeking new sustainable income streams, on top of the income losses that have needed to be addressed following the pandemic and the prospect of escalating cost pressures due to inflation.

The draft report provides an early but comprehensive assessment of the key information that will be used for the detailed budget setting for 2023/24 onwards. It was stressed that the draft report provides the framework for the detailed work now underway with officers and Executive Members to develop the actual budget proposals for consideration both by Overview and Scrutiny and the Executive in November 2022.

A Member briefing on the Financial Sustainability Plan would be taking place on 26 July 2022 and Members were encouraged to attend. Councillor Schofield asked for the Committee to note the report and provide any comments which could be taken into account as work on the budget progressed.

Members welcomed the report and had submitted a number of advance questions. The Executive Member for Finance and Governance suggested that perhaps future advance questions could be submitted through the Chair to help avoid duplication.

The Head of Finance gave verbal answers to the advance questions; written answers would be supplied following the meeting (now attached to these minutes).

Additional points were raised during the meeting as set out below.

Capital Receipts Reserves - Members asked where capital receipts reserves are held, as they were not listed in the schedule of earmarked revenue reserves. It was confirmed that the capital reserves on the balance sheet are reported separately to the revenue reserves.

Members asked for a breakdown of capital reserves to be included in the Budget Scrutiny papers in November.

Local Council Tax Support Scheme Review (Page 45) - Members asked when this review would take place. It was explained that the current scheme had not been reviewed for several years. The intention was to review eligibility criteria in conjunction with the proposed council tax policy changes in

Agenda Item 4

consultation with stakeholders and preceptors. The outcome would come forward in the autumn for consideration.

Rough Sleepers (Page 33) - Members noted that no government announcements have been made around funding for rough sleepers, although there is significant funding for homelessness prevention. It was confirmed that the final position for the following year is usually confirmed around December.

Maximising Other Income – setting fees and charges (Page 37) - Members were concerned that raising parking charges may lead to illegal and inconsiderate parking. They also discussed the benefits of ensuring an affordable charge for pre-planning applications. It was confirmed that all fees and charges were under review as part of the Financial Sustainability Programme. Members debated the merits of ensuring that fees cover costs while also considering the impacts of fees and charges on low income households.

The Chair thanked the Head of Finance and other officers for the information provided. He concluded that the MTFP was based on a reasonable basis and noted that there is currently a forecast budget gap of £2million. He commented that the ability to address this shortfall would be dependent on several factors to be determined as the year progressed, including the likelihood for recovery of the £1.4million drop in revenue post-COVID, particularly car park income.

The Chair requested that the Medium Term Revenue Budget Forecast 2023/24 to 2027/28 on page 76 be updated in the pack for the Budget Scrutiny Panel, together with explanations of changes for each line item, as it was a useful summary.

The meeting adjourned for a five-minute break at 20.39.

RESOLVED that the Committee:

(i) Noted the report and raised comments for consideration by the Executive as set out in the Minutes and the advance questions and answers.

Overview and Scrutiny Committee 14 July 2022

Advance Questions

Agenda Item 4 – Medium Term Financial Plan 2023/24

Question 4.1

Pages 19 and 63 - Employee costs are stated as only 35.8% of gross expenditure, yet the sensitivity analysis shows that a 1% change in staff costs is double non-pay costs. Is this correct?

Answer

The basis for the values in the report is as follows:

	2022/23 Budget £m	As a % of Net Budget / Net Income	1% Increase £000	
Employee Costs	24,931.1	35.81%	249	125
Premises Costs	2,548.3	3.66%	25	
Supplies & Services	8,822.7	12.67%	88	
Transport Costs	1,107.2	1.59%	11	
Transfer Payments	32,214.2	46.27%	322	
Gross Expenditure	69,623.5	100.00%		
Housing Benefit Subsidy	(31,553.7)		(316)	
Grants & Third Party Contributions	(1,867.1)		(19)	
Income from Fees & Charges	(16,222.7)		(162)	
Net Expenditure	19,980.0			

Council Tax	15,222.0	76.19%	152
Business Rates	1,710.0	8.56%	18
Grants	1,271.0	6.36%	13
Reserves	1,777.0	8.89%	18
Net Sources of Income	19,980.0	100.00%	

Question 4.2

Pages 19 and 76 - Are any further covid costs (direct and indirect) being encountered at present or expected in the MTFP?

Answer

The main area of expenditure during 2022/23 is on staff resources to complete the reconciliation and reporting of the various funding streams received during the pandemic. The costs are funded through the various COVID-19 administration grants that have been provided by Government.

The Council's environmental health team has also been allocated funding by Surrey's Public Health team to support them in ensuring that county-wide infection prevention controls are maintained.

Question 4.3

Page 31 and 76 - An important factor in the ability to recover the funding gap relates to recovery of the income drops experienced in the pandemic. In 2021/22 this was reported as about £1.4m, including £1m in carpark revenue. What expectations of recovery are included in the MTFP?

Answer

The current assumption is that off-street car parking income will continue to recover at the rate of between £0.100m and £0.200m additional income per annum based on current trends. This is subject to confirmation as part of in-year budget monitoring during 2022/23 and will be taken into account when confirming the car park income budget for 2023/24.

Question 4.4

Page 35 - How much New Homes bonus has been received since the council allocated £20m into the Capital programme for housing delivery?

Answer

£2.382m

Question 4.5

How much has the council received in right to buy receipts cumulatively since 2012 and in the last year in particular?

Answer

Year Ending 31st March	Pre- 2012 £m	2011 - 2012 £m	2012 - 2013 £m	2013 - 2014 £m	2014 - 2015 £m	2015 - 2016 £m	2016 - 2017 £m	2017 - 2018 £m	2018 - 2019 £m	2019 - 2020 £m	2020 - 2021 £m	2021 - 2022 £m	Total Receipts since 2012 £m
Receipts In Year	0.687	0.073	0.074	0.582	0.078	0.022	0.326	0.594	0.476	0.682	0.450	0.753	4.110
Cumulative Balance	0.687	0.760	0.834	1.416	1.494	1.516	1.842	2.436	2.912	3.594	4.044	4.797	

Question 4.6

Pages 50 and 101 to 106 - What specific ideas and potential savings/ additional revenue are emerging from the Financial Sustainability Programme?

Answer

Financial Sustainability Programme workstreams are described at Annex 2 (page 101).

Opportunities within the workstreams are currently being worked on by officers for consideration by the Executive in the autumn.

The specific savings and income generation proposals that are adopted by the Executive will then be reported with the Draft Budget proposals in November.

Question 4.7

Pages 55 to 56 and 77 to 81 - With some balances in the budgets for rolling programmes backing up, is there capacity for scaling down some of the budgets for the MTFP?

Answer

The requirement to maintain funding for rolling programmes at current levels will be considered when draft Capital Programme proposals for 2023/24 onwards are considered in the autumn.

Question 4.8

Pages 56 and 77 - What is the rationale for the £3m capital spend for Beech House

Answer

The £3.0m budget for refurbishment of Beech House was established when the 2020/21 Capital Programme was approved. At that time the intention was to invest in refurbishment of the building to 'CAT A' standard with enhanced environmental sustainability standards to meet the requirements of the sole occupant tenant at that time.

That tenant then decided not to renew their lease during the pandemic (November 2020) and the property was vacated during 2021/22.

Options for the building are currently under consideration and the final proposals are expected to be submitted to CVESC for approval later this year.

Question 4.9

Pages 56 and 79 - Environmental Strategy Delivery budget of £259 is shown on p79 but doesn't appear to be in the summary on p56? Is this because it is separately funded from revenue reserves?

Answer

A drafting issue, that arose when the summary table at page 56 was added to the report, meant that the row containing the Environmental Strategy Delivery budget was excluded.

The table totals were correct. The corrected version is set out below with the omitted row highlighted.

Table 15: CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2022/23 to 2026/27 by SERVICE	2021/22	2022/23	2023/24	2024/25	2025/26	2026/27	TOTAL £m
	BFWD £m	Projected £m	Projected £m	Projected £m	Projected £m	Projected £m	
ORGANISATION SERVICES:							
Property Services	5.223	1.636	1.352	1.246	0.028	1.536	11.022
IT Services	0.224	0.200	0.260	0.250	0.200	0.200	1.334
Organisational Development	0.452	0.250	0.250	0.250	-	-	1.202
Environmental Strategy Delivery	0.250	-	-	-	-	-	0.250
PEOPLE SERVICES:							
Housing	20.519	11.325	1.325	1.325	1.325	1.362	37.181
Wellbeing & Intervention	0.172	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.100	0.672
Community Development	0.075	0.030	-	-	-	-	0.105
PLACE SERVICES:							
Neighbourhood Operations	0.659	1.542	0.774	0.891	0.929	0.913	5.708
Place Delivery	9.410	15.100	-	-	-	-	24.510
Economic Prosperity	-	0.100	0.100	0.100	-	-	0.300
CORPORATE:							
Commercial Investment Strategy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
TOTAL APPROVED CAPITAL PROGRAMME	36.983	30.283	4.161	4.162	2.581	4.110	82.280

Question 4.10

Page 64 - What are the new demands on resources anticipated from the prioritisation of housing delivery and Environmental sustainability?

Answer

Potential areas for investment, as set out in the relevant strategy documents, include:

Housing Delivery Strategy – delivery of strategic priorities:

- Use our land and assets to deliver additional housing
- Work with public land owners to redevelop redundant or underused sites and land into housing or mixed use schemes and work in partnership to bring empty homes back into use
- Establish a Local Housing Company or other vehicle to help us deliver more homes
- Work with partners to maximise the potential of development sites to meet local housing needs
- Deliver supported housing for single people with complex needs in partnership with a specialist supported housing provider
- Take a plan-led approach to meeting housing delivery targets set in the Local Plan whilst supporting developers to increase development density and optimise design to maximise opportunities to deliver affordable housing to meet local need

Environmental Sustainability Strategy – delivery of strategic priorities:

Energy & Carbon

- Energy minimisation: Reduction of operational energy (regulated and unregulated) through efficiency measures (e.g. insulation, LEDs) and behaviour change.
- Renewable energy: Generation of renewable energy locally and procurement of renewable energy through reputable green tariffs.
- Low carbon transport: Minimisation of transport emissions through reducing personal car travel, promoting electric vehicles, developing public transport and encouraging cycling and walking.
- Embodied carbon: Reduction in embodied carbon in new infrastructure or building projects through lean engineering and construction techniques.

Low Impact Consumption

- Waste reduction: Minimisation of waste arisings through better procurement choices (e.g. longer-lasting or better quality products) and recycling unavoidable waste in local treatment facilities.
- Water efficiency: Reduction of water consumption by promoting water efficiency to alleviate water scarcity issues.
- Responsible sourcing: Use of materials and products produced responsibly (i.e. not causing any environmental or social harm)

Natural Environment and Biodiversity

- Ecological enhancement: Improvement of the tree soft landscaping cover to create habitats that are of benefit to wildlife.

- Sustainable drainage system: Installation of sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) to reduce embodied carbon of drainage infrastructure and provide biodiversity and amenity benefits.
- Environmental impact and pollution prevention: Avoidance of negative impacts to the wider environment i.e. air, water, ground, habitat loss resulting from Council's activities (including procurement).

Question 4.11

Pages 76 and 20 - The Medium Term Budget Forecast (page 76) shows the Reserves of £1,677 and £677 used in balancing the 22/23 budget (page 20) being reversed out in two tranches. What is the rationale? Are any balancing items presumed going forward?

Answer

The £1.677m comprises the budgeted one-off use of Reserves in 2022/23 that is not currently expected to continue in 2023/23 onwards:

- Government Funding Risks Reserve - £0.115m to fund Housing Benefit subsidy cuts;
- Pension Reserve - £0.350m to fund historic pension payments;
- COVID Risks Reserve - £1.000m to help mitigate the impacts of car parking income losses; and
- £0.212m contribution from the General Fund Balance to balance the net budget requirement (if a net budget underspend is not realised, as in previous years).

£0.677m of the above is reversed in the current forecast for 2023/24; the actual call on Reserves in that year is subject to confirmation when the 2023/24 budget is finalised later this year.

Question 4.12

Pages 76 and 36 - What is the basis for the forecast of the new sources of income? Is this inflation or new initiatives?

What is in the "project pipeline" for the Commercial Strategy?

Answer

The MTFP forecast is based on an initial estimate for net income from lettings at Marketfield Way (The Rise) based on the lease terms that are currently in negotiation, after taking into account a reduction factor to reflect the risk that these are not yet firm contractual commitments.

Work continues under the Commercial Strategy to review the Council's property assets in order to identify potential development opportunities that can be formulated into a project pipeline. Progress on this and other elements of the Commercial Strategy action plan is scheduled to be reported to Overview & Scrutiny Committee later in the year.

Question 4.13

Page 76 - What assumptions for pay growth are included in the MTFP?

Answer

The 2023/24 forecast includes an allocation of £1.25m to fund contractual pay increases and an allowance for an annual pay award (which is subject to consultation).

Question 4.14

Page 76 - What general inflation assumptions for goods and services are included in the MTFP?

Answer

The MTFP forecasts do not include provision for general price inflation. Business cases for budget growth for specific contract price increases will be considered when the draft budget for 2023/24 is prepared in the autumn.

Question 4.15

Page 76 - Please explain the substantial growth in Business Rates included in 23/24, and why this tails off in future years?

Answer

The MTFP forecast for retained business rates income is based on the latest figures published by DLUHC after taking account of post-pandemic recovery performance. The forecast is subject to confirmation when the Provisional Local Government Settlement is published in December.

Question 4.16

Page 79 - This includes a brought forward figure for Environmental Strategy Delivery. What are the plans for this going forwards and why is there no budget for this going forward?

Answer

This Capital Programme allocation is essentially an initial 'placeholder' to acknowledge that investment will be required in this area.

The energy audit of council buildings is nearing completion and discussions are also progressing about options for continuing to transition the vehicle fleet to electric vehicles.

It is anticipated that the outcome of these initiatives will inform future (specific) capital expenditure proposals for estate improvement and fleet replacement, including more precise profiling of the budget to mirror spending plans.

Question 4.17

Page 80 - Vehicles and plant have a large jump to £1.056m next year. Is this planned spend on electric vehicles?

Answer

Investment in fleet replacement is planned on a rolling replacement basis and covers the replacement of vehicles as they come to the end of their useful life.

The fleet manager is working with operational teams to investigate alternative-fuelled vehicles and plant. This includes investment in electric or hybrid vehicles where they are identified as being fit for purpose and value for money.

Four diesel-fuelled RCVs (Refuse Collection Vehicles) are scheduled for replacement in 2023/24 along with other vehicles that will reach the end of their useful life. The RCVs equate to £800k of the £1.056m reported in the Capital Programme.

The cost of an electric RCV is currently more than double that of a standard diesel truck; in the region of £450k per vehicle compared to £200k. One of the four RCVs that are due for replacement in 2023/24 does not yet have an electric equivalent while the other three do. The service is trialling an electric single-bodied RCV this month to assess whether it meets service requirements.

An additional capital allocation of £780k would potentially be required, in addition to the £1.056m in the 2023/24 Capital Programme, to replace three RCVs with electric versions. Any proposals to increase the approved Capital Programme and the associated borrowing costs would be considered when the draft budget is prepared in the autumn.

Question 4.18

Page 84 - What has been spent out of the capital schemes feasibility reserves and housing reserves to date and where is this been reported?

Answer

Capital Schemes Feasibility Reserve

- 2021/22 - £0.589m – as reported in the Quarter 4 Performance report to Executive on 23 June 2022 (Annex 2: Section 1)
- The agenda paper is published [here](#)

Housing Delivery Strategy Reserve

- nil

Question 4.19

Page 85-86 - Please can you explain what the Covid 19 reserves are from, whether there are particular plans for how they are to be spent?

Answer

COVID-19 – NNDR Section 31 Grant Reserve

- funding provided by Government to compensate the authority for NNDR income shortfalls as a consequence of Government decisions to award additional reliefs for businesses to help them mitigate the financial impacts of the pandemic

COVID-19 Impacts - Funding Reserve

- the balance of funds set aside by this authority as part of budget-setting in 2021/22 to help manage the budget impacts of income shortfalls following the pandemic – primarily relating to car parking income losses

COVID-19 Specified Government Funding Reserve

- the balance of Government grants awarded to this authority during 2020/21 and 2021/22 to help fund additional costs incurred during the pandemic. The grant terms require them to be ring-fenced for specific purposes.

This page is intentionally left blank

Overview and Scrutiny Committee – 14 July 2022

Draft Minute 17 - Agenda Item 5 - Capital Investment Strategy 2023/24

The meeting restarted at 20.45.

The Committee received a report and briefing from Councillor Schofield, Deputy Leader and Executive Member for Finance and Governance. It presented the latest version of the Council's capital investment strategy which provided a mechanism by which investment and financing plans can be prioritised, ensuring that decisions take account of stewardship, value for money, prudence, sustainability, affordability, and risks. The strategy included details of assets owned and any new assets being invested in and how they are funded.

Members welcomed the report and had submitted a number of advance questions. The Head of Finance gave verbal answers to the advance questions; written answers would be supplied following the meeting (now attached to these minutes).

Additional points were raised during the meeting as set out below.

Question 5.5, Page 114 - Table 2 Significant Assets at March 2022

A Member queried whether Banstead car park and Ruffles Bridge car park should not appear in the list of assets. The Head of Finance agreed to provide a written response.

Question 5.6, Page 116 - Table 3 Gross Returns

Members asked for confirmation of the rent income that was received for Beech House before it was vacated. The Head of Finance agreed to provide a written response.

The Chair would raise the comments of the Committee, regarding the Beech House investment, at the Commercial Ventures Executive Sub-Committee, the following week.

Question 5.9, Page 124 – Greensand and MRP

A Member asked for an explanation of how RBBC would need to change its MRP policy for Greensand Holding Investment if the government changes the requirements for these entities as per the consultation. Currently no MRP charges are recorded.

The Head of Finance confirmed that any changes would take effect from the date of amendment onwards, which was currently expected to be April 2023.

Members requested a written response to explain the impact for this authority of the current accounting treatment for impairments in comparison to the revised MRP proposals.

Question 5.15, Page 128 Capital Receipts

Members asked for confirmation that the planned use of capital receipts from the Marketfield Way development was a low risk. It was confirmed that the project is progressing to plan, including the forecast capital receipt from the

Agenda Item 5

advanced sale of the market housing element of the project. Progress is reported separately to Overview & Scrutiny as part of in-year budget monitoring.

Members raised a number of additional questions.

Commercial Strategy and provision of services

Members asked whether it was worthwhile continuing to sell or trade services when it was no longer possible to do so to make a profit. It was confirmed that this was being considered through the Commercial Strategy and Financial Sustainability Programme within the context of local government funding and regulatory frameworks. Although profit could not be made, in some circumstances trading spare capacity presented an opportunity to bolster the Council's in-house capacity. Part of the commercial strategy was to confirm the areas to focus on in this regard.

Financial Sustainability Programme

Members asked whether there was sufficient staff capacity to deliver the programme. The Head of Paid Service explained that the programme was in its early stages and the majority of work to date, such as reviewing fees and charges, was being undertaken by in-house resources; however, it was likely that additional resources would be required to help manage some of the larger pieces of work.

Environmental Sustainability

Members asked for an environmental sustainability section be added to the strategy in future. The Head of Finance confirmed that this would be included.

Borrowing

Members noted that borrowing could not be used for projects which are solely to generate a return. Members asked whether this applied to current projects and how it would affect future projects. It was confirmed that there was no current external borrowing. The Head of Paid Service explained that there are increasing restrictions from the government regarding the use of borrowing; the primary aim for capital investment must be for social benefit. However, it was still acceptable for income generation to be a secondary objective.

Members asked whether hyperlinks could be inserted in future reports to point Members to the supporting tables etc.

In conclusion, the Chair thanked the Head of Finance and officers for the information provided.

RESOLVED that the Committee:

(i) Noted the report and raised comments for consideration by Executive that are set out in the Minutes and the advance questions and answers.

Overview and Scrutiny Committee 14 July 2022

Advance Questions

Agenda Item 5 – Capital Investment Strategy 2023/24

Question 5.1

Page 114 - Table 2 SIGNIFICANT ASSETS AT MARCH 2022 notes Land & Premises, Marketfield Way, Redhill having a historic valuation of 27.312m please confirm when the valuation was undertaken and when property it is due to be revalued.

Answer

The valuation was prepared for the 2021/22 statement of accounts based on a desktop assessment of capital investment to date in the new development (and is subject to further review when the statement of accounts is finalised).

Question 5.2

Page 114 - Table 2 SIGNIFICANT ASSETS AT MARCH 2022 please identify the current expenditure incurred to date, broken down into primary elements; land, fees, surveys, construction, and sales / disposal costs including any historic written off as part of the development process.

Answer

With the exception of Marketfield Way (The Rise) which is covered at question 5.1 above, the valuations at Table 2 are the most recent valuation provided by the Council's valuation consultants for the purpose of producing the annual statement of accounts.

Question 5.3

Page 114 - Table 2 SIGNIFICANT ASSETS AT MARCH 2022 Can you confirm when valuations were undertaken for all assets noted having a value above £2m

Answer

Valuations are provided by an independent valuation consultant as at 31 March each year for the purpose of producing the annual statement of accounts.

Question 5.4

Page 114 - Table 2 SIGNIFICANT ASSETS AT MARCH 2022

What impairment (£m) was been made to assets (which) in the period 2021-22

Answer

None of the property assets listed at Table 2 have been impaired. Valuations are based on the valuation consultant's assessment based on current use in line with CIPFA and RICS guidance on valuation requirements when preparing the statement of accounts.

Question 5.5

Page 114 - Table 2 SIGNIFICANT ASSETS AT MARCH 2022

What assets are at risk / likely to have further impairment in the medium term? Has risk assessment been carried out if so please share this with the committee.

Answer

Valuations are based on the valuation consultant's assessment based on current use in line with CIPFA and RICS guidance on valuation requirements when preparing the statement of accounts.

Question 5.6

Page 116 - Table 3 GROSS RETURNS

Beech House Reigate does not show the "budgeted" rent or yield therefore it can't be seen what revenue loss the Council is suffering for being vacant, what is the annual rent the building was budgeted to receive

Answer

The approved 2022/23 revenue budget does not include a rental income budget in respect of Beech House. The budget will be reinstated when new tenancies are in place following refurbishment (subject to approval of the business case for refurbishment – see 5.14 below).

Question 5.7

Page 117 - Table 3 GROSS RETURNS

Page 117 cites "Clarendon Road Car Park lifts "will" be completed in 2021/22 (£0.190m)" can it confirmed that the works have been completed, if so when

Answer

Clarification: these works have not yet commenced; they were put on hold while the service assessed the continued impact of the pandemic on car park income receipts.

Question 5.8

Page 118 - Table 3 GROSS RETURNS

Page 118 cites "authority to borrow up to £83.5 million (Authorised Limit) to fund delivery of the approved Capital Programme 2022/23 to 2026/27. Further details are provided below. [No] details are included. Could the detail be provided

Answer

Further information about the Debt, Borrowing and Treasury Management arrangements that support delivery of the Capital Programme are provided later in the Capital Investment Strategy report at Section 5 (Page 131), including information about the Authorised Borrowing Limit of £83.5 million.

Question 5.9

Page 124 - Please could it be explained how RBBC would need to change its MRP for Greensand Holding Investment if the government changes the requirements for these entities as per the consultation. We do comply currently but please explain what we will be required to do if the government adopts the MRP proposals as indicated in the Agenda item 5.

Answer

The current position regarding the proposed changes to the MRP rules is explained at page 125. This will be clearer when the final proposals are announced by the Government; currently expected to be by the end of 2022.

Question 5.10

Page 126 - Organisational Development has £1.202m of Capital Expenditure please provide details of this projected expenditure

Answer

This information is provided at page 162

- Workplace Facilities: estate/asset development - £1.202m

Question 5.11

Page 126 - Housing has £37.181m of Capital Expenditure please provide details of this projected expenditure

Answer

This information is provided at page 162

- Disabled Facilities grants - £5.67m
- Home Improvement Agency grants - £600k
- Handy Person Scheme grants - £250k
- Massetts Road - building works - £105k
- Temporary/Emergency accommodation repairs/maintenance - £37k
- Lee Street Bungalows - £327k
- Housing Delivery Strategy Investment - £30.0m
- Cromwell Road Development - £150k
- Pitwood Park Development - £43k

Question 5.12

Page 126 - Neighbourhood Operations has £5.706m of Capital Expenditure please provide details of this projected expenditure

Answer

This information is provided at pages 163 and 164

- Vehicle Wash Bay Replacement - £350k
- Vehicles & Plant - £3.391m
- Play Areas Improvement – 1.150m
- Air Quality Monitoring Equipment - £250k
- Parks & Countryside - Infrastructure & Fencing - £225k
- Workshop Refurbishment - £160k
- Contribution to Surrey Transit Site - £127k
- Land Flood Prevention - £53k

Question 5.13

Page 126 - Place Delivery has £15.1m of Capital Expenditure in 2022/23 please provide details of this projected expenditure

Answer

This information is provided at page 164

- Marketfield Way Redevelopment - £15.1m

Question 5.14

Page 127 identifies that £3m is expected to be spent on Beech House, Reigate please advise the logic and provide the basis of the business case for the expenditure

Answer

The £3.0m budget for refurbishment of Beech House was established when the 2020/21 Capital Programme was approved. At that time the intention was to invest in refurbishment of the building to 'CAT A' standard with enhanced environmental sustainability standards to meet the requirements of the sole occupant tenant at that time.

That tenant then decided not to renew their lease during the pandemic (November 2020) and the property was vacated during 2021/22.

Options for the building are currently under consideration and the final proposals are expected to be submitted to CVESC for approval later this year.

Question 5.15

Page 128 identifies £35.824m of capital receipts during the period 2022/23- 2026/27 please provide a full schedule from where these are expected.

Answer

The planned use of Capital Receipts relates primarily to receipts from the sale of the market homes; these receipts are being used to help fund the cost of the Marketfield Way (The Rise) development. They also include planned use of a proportion of Housing Capital receipts held in capital reserves to support delivery of the Housing Delivery Strategy.

Question 5.16

Page 162 - Please can you clarify why the number for housing delivery carried forward is £20m here but £19.170m in the reserves figure (previous agenda item) and where the additional £10.000m in the 22/23 capital programme for housing delivery will be funded from.

Answer

The figures in Appendix 7 at page 162 represent the budgeted capital expenditure on Housing Delivery over the Capital Programme period 2023/24 to 2027/28:

- The £20.0m that was approved in 2020/21 and 2021/22; plus
- The £10.0m that has been approved for 2022/23.

This spending is to be funded from:

- The Housing Delivery Strategy Revenue Reserve balance, which was £19.079 million at March 2022; plus
- £10.921m which is anticipated to be funded through prudential borrowing and/or Government grant. The specific allocation of funding will be dependent

on approval of individual business case proposals and the outcome of associated funding applications.

This page is intentionally left blank