

Agenda

Audit Committee

Wednesday, 12 June 2024 at 7.00 pm

New Council Chamber, Town Hall, Reigate



This meeting will take place in the Town Hall, Castlefield Road, Reigate. Members of the public, Officers and Visiting Members may attend remotely or in person.



Members of the public may observe the proceedings live on the Council's [website](#).

Members:

G. Buttironi
J. C. S. Essex
B. Green

B. Nash
S. Wotton
T. Hyun (Independent Member)

Substitutes:

Conservatives: R. H. Ashford, K. Fairhurst, J. Baker, J. Dwight, J. Hudson, R. Michalowski, S. Parnall, S. T. Walsh and M. S. Blacker

Residents Group: G. Hinton N. D. Harrison

Green Party: V. Chester, P. Chandler, S. Khan, A. Proudfoot and R. Ritter

Liberal Democrats S. A. Kulka

Mari Roberts-Wood
Managing Director

For enquiries regarding this agenda;

Contact: 01737 276182

Email: democratic@reigate-banstead.gov.uk

Published 04 June 2024

Reigate & Banstead
BOROUGH COUNCIL
Banstead | Horley | Redhill | Reigate

1. Election of Chair

To elect a Chair of the Committee for this Municipal Year 2024/25.

2. Election of Vice-Chair

To elect a Vice-Chair of the Committee for this Municipal Year 2024/25.

3. Minutes

(Pages 5 - 14)

To confirm as a correct record the Minutes of the previous meeting.

4. Apologies for Absence and Substitutions

To receive any apologies for absence and notification of substitutes in accordance with the Constitution.

5. Declaration of Interest

To receive any declarations of interest.

6. To change the date of the meeting in July 2024

To approve changing the date of the forthcoming Audit Committee meeting from the 3 July 2024 to 10 July 2024 due to the timing of the General Election.

7. Any Other Urgent Business

To consider any item(s) which, in the opinion of the Chair, should be considered as a matter of urgency – Local Government Act 1972, Section 100b (4)(b).

Note: Urgent business must be submitted in writing but may be supplemented by an oral report.



Our meetings

As we would all appreciate, our meetings will be conducted in a spirit of mutual respect and trust, working together for the benefit of our Community and the Council, and in accordance with our Member Code of Conduct. Courtesy will be shown to all those taking part.



Streaming of meetings

Meetings are broadcast live on the internet and are available to view online for six months. In attending any meeting, you are recognising that you may be filmed and consent to the live stream being broadcast online, and available for others to view.



Accessibility

The Council's agenda and minutes are provided in English. However, the Council also embraces its duty to anticipate the need to provide documents in different formats, such as audio, large print or in other languages. The Council will provide such formats where a need is identified prior to publication or on request.



Notice is given of the intention to hold any part of this meeting in private for consideration of any reports containing "exempt" information, which will be marked accordingly.

This page is intentionally left blank

BOROUGH OF REIGATE AND BANSTEAD

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Minutes of a meeting of the Audit Committee held at the New Council Chamber - Town Hall, Reigate on 13 March 2024 at 7.30 pm.

Present: Councillors F. Kelly (Chair), B. Green (Vice-Chair), T. Hyun (Co-Opted Member) and N. D. Harrison (Substitute).

38. MINUTES

The minutes from the meeting held on 6 December 2023 were **APPROVED**.

39. APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE AND SUBSTITUTIONS

An apology for absence was received from Councillor Bray, Councillor Harrison attended as her substitute. An apology for absence was received from Councillor Buttironi. Councillor Chester was not present.

40. DECLARATION OF INTEREST

There were no declarations of interest.

41. RISK MANAGEMENT - QUARTER 3 2023/24

The Executive Member for Corporate Policy and Resources highlighted developments relevant to the Council's strategic risks and explained that, as these have taken place since the end of quarter 3, they were not included in the reports presented to this meeting of the Committee.

They included:

Strategic Risk 1 – Financial Sustainability - approval of a balanced budget for 2024/25 by Full Council, only drawing on earmarked reserves to address specific funding risks.

Strategic Risk 6 - Local Government Reorganisation. Surrey's County Deal had been confirmed by the Government as part of the Spring Budget. The Deal did not require any structural changes to local government in Surrey but provided Surrey County Council with some additional areas of responsibility, including new economic development functions. This Council would continue to work with Surrey to make the Deal a success.

The Executive Member commented that it was good to note that the Council was seeing positive movement on a number of strategic risks in Quarter 3, and in relation to the previously red rated operational risk.

He explained that the second risk management report on the agenda introduced the strategic risks for 2024/25. In proposing updated risks for the year ahead, the Council has been able to reflect positive movement and it was anticipated that the Council would be recommended to approve the closure of some risks next quarter.

Agenda Item 3

Audit Committee
13 March 2024

Minutes

The Head of Corporate Policy, Projects and Performance introduced the Quarter 3 report, explaining that this provided an update on risk management in the period from September to December 2023.

No new strategic risks had been identified and no strategic risks were recommended for closure in the quarter. Updates on controls and mitigations for each risk were recorded in Annex 1 of the report.

As well as receiving an update on strategic risks, Members were also provided with updates on RED rated operational risks. At the end of Q3 there were none.

However, Members were provided with information about the operational risk that was reported RED in the previous quarter to help explain the reasons for the change in risk rating. Additional detail on this risk was provided in the part 2 exempt annex.

Following this Audit Committee meeting, the report would be considered by the Executive on 21 March.

Any observations made by the Audit Committee on the report's contents would be communicated to the meeting of the Executive on 21 March.

A number of advance questions on this item had been raised and the responses were circulated to Members prior to the meeting.

In response to a Member's questions on SR4, it was explained that in terms of capacity and capability risks there were a number of actions that were being considered, including workforce planning to run alongside the annual service planning process. This would also allow the Council to plan for resourcing key priorities in tandem with preparations for the next Corporate Plan.

In early April, the staff survey would also be launched, to gauge how staff felt with regard to their position in the organisation and their role in delivering the Council's priorities. The outcome would be a detailed action plan.

It was confirmed that there had been no significant workforce changes and there was nothing of concern coming out of exit interviews.

Overall the workforce remains the Council's biggest asset. However the employment market was very buoyant, and it was difficult to recruit to some professions. How the Council recruits and retains staff remains important and capacity and capability would always be a risk. Overall the risk was under control.

A drafting error was highlighted on page 22 of the report. SR2 (Commercial Investment) should have had a risk rating of 9 across all the quarters reported, rather than 6.

In response to a question about timescales for reducing risk, it was confirmed that currently the Council did not set target dates for achieving target risk levels. It was suggested that target dates could be considered. Officers agreed to look at options, however it was noted that some strategic risks, given their very nature, would inevitably remain on the risk register for a long time.

In terms of targets for reducing risk, Members asked whether these were genuine targets or aspirational targets. In response it was stated that the Council could only control what was in its power to influence.

The Chair asked for confirmation, in relation to SR5, how much the Council had spent on fees for advice on commercial opportunities and how many opportunities the Council had turned down. Also what was the value of the commercial portfolio and of recent disposals?

Members were advised that, over recent years, the constraints on local government powers to invest commercially had been severely curtailed and that any business cases to be considered were reported to the Partner, Shareholder and Trustee Executive Sub-Committee. The majority of investment now focussed on place shaping and regeneration. A written answer to be supplied following the meeting with further details.

RESOLVED that the Committee notes the Q3 2023/24 update on risk management provided in the report and associated annexes and makes any observations to the Executive.

42. RISK MANAGEMENT - 2024/25

The Head of Corporate Policy, Projects and Performance introduced the report which sets out the proposed strategic risks for 2024/25 and the 2024/25 Assurance Framework.

Confirming strategic risks for the year ahead was carried out in quarter 3, to enable the strategic risks to be approved by the Executive before the start of the reporting year (commencing 1 April 2024).

Several changes were proposed to the strategic risks for 2024/25, the detail of which was explained in the report.

As the Executive Member for Corporate Policy and Resources had explained in his earlier introduction, they reflect the anticipated closure of some risks during Quarter 4.

More generally, risk wording had also been reviewed to ensure it remained accurate and reflective of current circumstances.

The Committee was asked to note the strategic risks and make any observations for consideration by the Executive.

The Committee was also advised that the Assurance Framework was a new document introduced to support the updated Risk Management Strategy. It was primarily a management document and was therefore being presented for information.

The Framework captured the wide range of risks that the Council faced on a day-to-day basis. It recorded controls and mitigations that the Council had in place relating

Agenda Item 3

Audit Committee
13 March 2024

Minutes

to each risk and provided an assessment of whether these were sufficient and within risk appetite.

Where risks recorded in the Framework were outside of the Council's risk appetite, and receiving active management attention, they were escalated for inclusion in the relevant risk register.

In terms of SR5 (cost pressures affecting the viability of Council developments) the risk was expected to be proposed for closure in Q4 2023/24. This was because it was now being managed via business-as-usual processes, including, project management and the commercial governance framework.

In response to a Member's question it was explained that, if Members did not support the risk being closed when closure was proposed in Q4, it would carry forward into 2024/25. The rationale for anticipating closure of SR5 was that the number of developments had reduced.

A Member asked why risks were being confirmed for the year ahead at this stage, before quarter 4 had been reported. It was explained that risks had to be approved before the start of the financial (reporting) year, but when it comes to reporting to the Committee, this takes place in arrears – this explains the 'overlap'. Ensuring that a live risk register was in place from the start of each year is, for example, critical to support SIAP's audit reviews.

The substitute Member explained that he was unhappy with the suggestion that SR5 might be closed without the detailed reasons being explained. Until the issues underlying the risks were concluded SR5 risk should be retained. It was explained that the rationale for closure would be presented to the Committee in Quarter 4.

It was agreed that the Audit Committee could make the observation to the Executive that SR5 should remain on the risk register in 2024/24 until Executive had considered the reasons for closing it. It was also noted that the two portfolio holders present had observed the Committee's debate on this.

With regard to reviewing strategic risks, Members also noted that budget setting, by necessity, considered a range of risks, and the Chief Finance Officer confirmed that nothing new had arisen from the recent budget setting process that required further changes to the strategic risks.

Members observed that they found the Assurance Framework within the report very helpful.

RESOLVED that the Committee:

- (i) Notes the strategic risks (available at annex 1) for 2024/25 and makes any observations to the Executive; and
- (ii) Notes the 2024/25 assurance framework (available at annex 2) and makes any comments to the Head of Corporate Policy, Projects and Performance.

43. INTERNAL AUDIT - QUARTER 3 2023/24 PROGRESS REPORT

Natalie Jerams, Chief Internal Auditor of Southern Internal Audit Partnership (SIAP) explained that this report provided an update on the delivery of the 2023/24 internal audit plan at the end of quarter 3.

There were no new reports with a limited or no assurance outcome. Pages 88-89 of the report provided a line-by-line breakdown of the rolling work programme for 2023/24. Adjustments to the plan were outlined on page 91 of the report. Members were reminded that SIAP followed all management actions through to completion and revised due dates were outlined on page 94 (Annex 2) of the report. There was an additional annex with an update on the procurement management actions.

In response to a Member question, it was explained that the audit programme in quarter 3 was slightly behind schedule. Some audits were at fieldwork stage, and some had only just started, however some were close to completion. SIAP was confident that they had the resource to complete the required audits. Two reviews which were not completed last year and rolled over to this year – SIAP was confident that it would be able to deliver the annual report and opinion. It was noted that there would always be an element of carry over in agreement with officers.

In terms of target dates for management actions, SIAP's perspective was that the risk remained until the action had been completed. If there was a shift in direction, then they would be looking to see a new action.

The Chief Finance Officer provided an overview of the procurement transformation programme, explaining that the audits of procurement and contract management had been carried out a couple of years ago and the programme set out at Annex 3 would address the outstanding management actions arising from them. Implementation dates had been revised and were now driven by the programme timeline.

Overall, it represented a wide-ranging programme of works with realistic implementation dates, given the Council's capacity and priorities. Regular updates would continue to be brought to this Committee.

Page 93 of the report focussed on the audit of use of volunteers. It was explained that a policy for the use of volunteers was in place. In terms of receiving all DBS checks back by 31 March 2024, it was noted that they had all been applied for, however, the challenge was getting the completed DBS checks returned from the Disclosure and Barring Service.

RESOLVED that:

- (i) The Audit Committee note the Q3 2023/24 internal audit progress report available at Annex 1; and
- (ii) The Audit Committee make any comments and/or observations on the report to the Council's Chief Finance Officer.

Agenda Item 3

Audit Committee
13 March 2024

Minutes

44. INTERNAL AUDIT PLAN AND CHARTER - 2024/25

Natalie Jerams, Chief Internal Auditor of Southern Internal Audit Partnership (SIAP) introduced the item explaining that the Audit Committee was responsible for agreeing the Council's internal Audit Plan and Charter.

The Audit Plan and Charter (attached as annex 1 and 2 respectively) provided independent and objective assurance that the Council's systems and processes were appropriate, operating effectively and provided sufficient control for the purposes of risk management, internal control and governance.

The Chief Internal Auditor explained that, in order to increase the responsiveness of audit reviews within the year, the Audit Plan was now presented on a quarterly basis.

It was explained that the previous approach had been to prepare an annual Plan and subsequently seek agreement of changes to that Plan in-year.

The Committee was therefore asked to approve the Plan for Quarter 1 2024/25 and would be asked to similarly approve the plans for Quarters 2 to 4 at future meetings.

In light of the volume of in-year changes over recent years and having considered the approach that was now adopted for many of SIAP's clients who were in a similarly dynamic environment, the approach in 2024/25 would move to a quarterly planning cycle so that the Plan reflects each quarter's emerging risks and priorities.

The substitute Member expressed his deep concern regarding this approach and argued that there should be an annual plan and an annual dialogue. The Portfolio Holder for Finance, Governance and Organisation commented that he agreed with these comments.

The Chief Internal Auditor of Southern Internal Audit Partnership explained that a number of clients had successfully adopted this approach. The quarter 1 Plan could be accompanied with a schedule of the 'universe' of audits that were likely be considered, if that would provide assurance that there was still oversight across the entire year.

It was further explained that the move to quarterly audit planning had been discussed in detail and agreed with the Corporate Governance Group because responsibility for agreeing the Plan content rests with officers. The Chief Finance Officer explained that the role of Audit Committee under the Constitution was to '*approve (but not direct)*' the Audit Plan.

The substitute Member observed that there was also limited detail in the report regarding the scope of the Quarter 1 audit reviews. For example, in his view, the audit of insurance should not just be looking at claims but should consider the range of insurance cover and limits. The Chief Internal Auditor confirmed that the scope of the audit was yet to be confirmed with the Chief Finance Officer.

The substitute Member argued that it was contrary to the Constitution to omit the details for quarters 2 to 4. It was explained that three further reports would be

submitted to Audit Committee to present each quarter's plan in future (instead of presenting a single report as previously).

The Committee was advised that SIAP would not be able to commence work in quarter 1 without approval of the Audit Plan at this meeting. Members agreed, in order to enable approval, that the Chief Finance Officer and Chief Internal Auditor would in future include information about the list of potential audits throughout the year when the Audit Plans for subsequent quarters are presented to the Committee in the new municipal year.

With regard to the annual internal audit Charter, the Chief Internal Auditor explained that it was a statutory requirement to adopt a Charter that sets out the responsibilities of SIAP as the Council's Internal Auditor and confirms the statutory requirements and responsibilities for members and officers. There had been no changes since the last financial year.

The substitute Member asked when the Committee would approve the internal audit resource plan and how the Committee monitors resources applied against the resource plan? The Chief Finance Officer and Chief Internal Auditor explained that this was delegated to the Chief Finance Officer when overseeing the contractual relationship between the Council and SIAP.

In terms of the quality assurance and improvement programme it was explained that SIAP was subject to an external assessment every five years; the last one was carried out in September 2020 and the report was presented to Audit Committee at that time. SIAP made reference to this external assessment in their quarterly reports. Between the five yearly reviews SIAP carry out self-assessments which are referenced in their annual report and opinion.

The substitute Member asked further questions about the approach to audit planning and resourcing and reporting. The Chief Internal Auditor explained that the focus in the quarterly progress reports to Audit Committee was on delivery of audits and outcomes, rather than inputs in terms of auditor days utilised.

The substitute Member commented that, if this Committee did not have a role in approving the audit team's resource plan, then this information should be omitted from the report in future.

The Chief Internal Auditor explained that the resource plan confirmed the number of contracted days that the Council had purchased from SIAP. The Chief Finance Officer explained that any changes to the number of days commissioned would be open to discussion when setting the annual budget for audit services. She confirmed that there had not been any change to commissioned days since SIAP were appointed as the Council's internal auditors.

RESOLVED that:

- (i) The Committee approves the internal audit Plan for Q1 2024/25 as set out in annex 1; and

Agenda Item 3

Audit Committee
13 March 2024

Minutes

- (ii) The Committee approves the internal audit Charter for 2024/25 as set out in annex 2.

45. **AUDIT COMMITTEE ANNUAL REPORT 2023/24 AND FORWARD WORK PROGRAMME 2024/25**

The Committee was apprised that the Annual Report 2023/24 set out the work of the Audit Committee in 2023/24. Once approved, the Chair of the Committee would present the report at Full Council on 28 March 2024.

Members considered the Committee's forward work programme for 2024/25. It was noted that the Committee's forward work programme was a live document and would be subject to change as required.

RESOLVED that:

- (i) The Annual Report of the Audit Committee be noted and recommended to Council; and
- (ii) The Audit Committee's Forward Plan for 2024/25 be approved.

46. **AUDIT COMMITTEE - ACTION TRACKER**

The action tracker gave an overview of the status of the actions that were requested at the previous meeting. The action tracker was **NOTED**.

47. **ANY OTHER URGENT BUSINESS**

There was no urgent business. Everyone was thanked for their contribution across the year.

48. **EXEMPT BUSINESS**

RESOLVED that members of the press and public be excluded from the meeting for part of agenda items 4 (Risk Management - Quarter 3 2023/24) and 6 (Internal audit - Quarter 3 2023/24 progress report) under Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972 on the grounds that: It involves the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in paragraphs 3 and 7 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Act.

3. Information relating to the financial or business affairs of any particular person (including the authority holding that information).

7. Information relating to any action taken or to be taken in connection with the prevention, investigation or prosecution of crime.

The Meeting closed at 9.26 pm